

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/848,411 | JESKE ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Jason M. Perilla | 2611 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Jason M. Perilla.

(3) _____.

 (2) David Cho.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 September 2006
Time: 2:30 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-7, 10, 13-15, 18, 20, 21, 23, and 24 renumbered respectively as claims 1-16

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant reviewed and approved a proposed Examiner's Amendment to place the case into direct condition for allowance.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)